UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/575,735	07/06/2007	Ronald R. Lemon	Lemon 02M04-US	1676
25547 PATENT DEPA	7590 08/05/200 ARTMENT	8	EXAM	INER
	TAYLOR, PORTER, BROOKS & PHILLIPS, L.L.P PATEL, YOGESH P			OGESH P
P.O. BOX 2471 BATON ROUC	GE, LA 70821-2471		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3732	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/05/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/575,735	LEMON, RONALD R.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	YOGESH PATEL	3732	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet v	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUN FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC statute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communic. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice uncompared to the condition of the closed in accordance with the practice uncompared to the closed in accordance with the practice uncompared to the closed in accordance with the practice uncompared to the closed in accordance with the practice uncompared to the closed in accordance with the practice uncompared to the closed in accordance with the practice uncompared to the closed in accordance with the practice uncompared to the closed in accordance with the practice uncompared to the closed in accordance with the practice uncompared to the closed in accordance with the practice uncompared to the closed in accordance with the practice uncompared to the closed in accordance with the practice uncompared to the closed in accordance with the practice uncompared to the closed in accordance with the practice uncompared to the closed in accordance with the practice uncompared to the closed in accordance with the practice uncompared to the closed in accordance with the practice uncompared to the closed in accordance with the practice uncompared to the closed in accordance with the closed in	This action is non-final. owance except for formal ma		s is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Example 1.	ndrawn from consideration. nd/or election requirement.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the continuous The oath or declaration is objected to by the	o the drawing(s) be held in abeya prrection is required if the drawin	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in a priority documents have bee ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 04/12/06.	B) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application 	

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the dampener as recited in claims 17-19 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show dampener 28 as described in the specification ([0050-0051]). Any structural detail that

Application/Control Number: 10/575,735 Page 3

Art Unit: 3733

is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 9-12 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not

described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. No support found for a "tool tip is a bur". In specification ([0035]), it suggests treatment section 14 **similar in shape** to a commercially available diamond-coated bur.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-5, 9, 13, 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Himeno et al. (5,899,693).

Himeno discloses a dental tip including a tool tip holder B, too tip A, fixation end 3, treatment section 2, an irrigation spout 1d, a transmission end 1b, a coupling end 1a. The tool tip can take various forms including a burr (col. 8, lines 1-15).

5. Claims 1, 3-4, 6-7, 9, 13, 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hickok et al. (2003/0096213).

Hickok discloses a universal ultrasonic instrument including a tool tip holder 54, a fixation end 56, treatment section, An irrigation spout 110, a transmitting end 54a, a coupling end 54b. The tip includes an abrasive burr having a round end bur shape, and further includes cutting 24, 68 and non cutting surface 72 having a dampener.

Art Unit: 3733

6. Claims 1, 2, 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Friedman et al. (3,368,280).

Friedman discloses a tip for an ultrasonic tool including a tool tip holder 44, tip 12, treatment section 52 fixedly attach thereto, Also an irrigation spout 50, a transmitting and coupling end (fig. 1-4).

7. Claims 1, 3, 4, 9 and 13, 20-21 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Martin (4,330,278).

Martin discloses an endodontic device including a tip holder 12, tip 20, irrigation spout 56, a transmitting end 50, a coupling end 45, and an abrasive burr, and oscillation frequencies between 20-50K Hz.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 5-8, 10-12, 14-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Martin as applied to claim 1.

Martin discloses that the tool tip holder may be used with various other dental tools known in the art, thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use Martin's tool with a periosteal elevator, curette, but, round end tapering bur, flat end bur, round end bur, root elevator or root tip elevator for various types of dental applications. Further, it would have been obvious to

Application/Control Number: 10/575,735 Page 6

Art Unit: 3733

one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use zirconia,

diamonds or steel chips as an abrasive material on dental burr, since these abrasive

materials are old and well-known in the art.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to YOGESH PATEL whose telephone number is (571)270-

3646. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Cris Rodriguez can be reached on 571-272-4964. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Y. P./

Examiner, Art Unit 3732

/Eduardo C. Robert/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3733

Application/Control Number: 10/575,735

Page 7

Art Unit: 3733